IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

		l		
Inventor: Sanj	eev Redkar, et al.	Group Art Unit:	1618	
Serial Number:	10/080,530	Examiner:	Micah P. Young	
Filing Date:	February 21, 2002	CONFIRMATIO	N NO: 8656	
OF 9-NITROCA	ITIONS AND FORMULATIONS MPTOTHECIN POLYMORPHS IS OF USE THEREFOR			
FILED ELECTRONICALLY ON: August 3, 2006				
Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria VA 22313-1450				
REQUEST	FOR RECONSIDERATION		T TERM ADJUSTMENT	
	APPLICATION FOR PAT	<u>ND</u> ENT TERM AD	HISTMENT	
		7 CFR §1.705	JUST MENT	
Sir or Madam:				
	at(s) hereby requests(s) that the Office r determination for this application.	econsider the Patent	Term Adjustment and adjust the Patent	
	This request is made AFTER the date of	of the Notice of Allow	rance;	
	☐ This request is made ON or B	EFORE payment of the	ne issue fee.	
	OR			
	This request is made after issu Correction.	ance of the patent and	l is accompanied by a Certificate of	
	OR			
\boxtimes	This request is made within TWO mon	ths from the date of is	suance of the patent.	
The statement of facts involved specifying the correct Patent Term Adjustment and the bases for the adjustment are as follows:				
	37 C.F.R. §1.702(a)(1). The Office is r 35 U.S.C. §132 or a notice of allowand the date on which the application was of 35 U.S.C. §371 in an international a	e under 35 U.S.C. §1: filed under 35 U.S.C.	51 not later than fourteen months after	
	The date on which the application wa	s filed:		

The 14 month date on which the Office was to issue an Office Action or Notice of Allowance
The actual date on which the first Office Action or Notice of Allowance was mailed
The difference for which Applicant should received credit
The Office credited Applicant days, when it should have credited days, as illustrated above.
37 C.F.R. §1.702(a)/2). The Office is required to respond to a reply under 35 U.S.C. §132 or to an appeal taken under 35 U.S.C. § 134 not later than four months after the date on which the reply was filed or appeal was taken:
Reply
The date of applicant's response to notice of rejection:
4 months from the date of response to the notice of rejection
The actual date on which the Office replied
The difference for which Applicant should received credit
The Office credited Applicant days, when it should have credited days, as illustrated above.
Appeal
The date on which Applicant's filed completed Appeal Brief:
4 months from the date of the appeal brief
The actual date on which the Examiner's Answer or Notice of Allowance was mailed
The difference for which Applicant should received credit
The Office credited Applicant days, when it should have credited days, as illustrated above.
37 C.F.R. §1.702(a)(3). The Office is required act on an application not later than <u>four months</u> after the date of a decision by the BPAI, or a decision by a Federal court where at least one allowable claim remains in the application:
The date of decision allowing at least one claim by the BPAI or Federal Court
4 months from the date of decision
The actual date on which the Office replied
The difference for which Applicant should received credit
The Office credited Applicant days, when it should have credited days, as illustrated above.

37 C.F.R. §1.702(a)(3). The Office is required to issue a patent not later than four months after the date on which the issue fee was paid under 35 U.S.C. §151:
The date applicant paid issue fee:
4 months from the date of issue fee payment
The actual date on which the patent issued
The difference for which Applicant should received credit
The Office credited Applicant days, when it should have credited days, as illustrated above.
37 C.F.R. §1.702(b). The Office is required to issue a patent within three years from the actual filing date of the application:
The date on which the application was filed
3 years from the date the application was filed
The date upon which the patent issued
The difference for which Applicant should received credit
The Office credited Applicant days, when it should have credited days, as illustrated above.
37 C.F.R. §1.702(c). The Office is required to adjust the term of an original patent if the issuance was delayed due to interference proceedings.
The date upon which the interference was declared
The date upon which the interference ended
The difference for which Applicant should received credit
The Office credited Applicant days, when it should have credited days, as illustrated above.
37 C.F.R. §1.702(d). The Office is required to adjust the term of an original patent if the issuance of the patent was delayed due to the application being placed under a secrecy order.
The date upon which the secrecy order was issued
The date upon which the secrecy order was removed
The difference for which Applicant should received credit
The Office credited Applicant days, when it should have credited days, as illustrated above.
37 C.F.R. §1.702(e). The Office is required to adjust the term of the original patent if the issuance was delayed to review by the BFA1 or Federal court, if the decision reversed an adverse determination of patentability.
The date upon which the application was appealed to the BPAI or Federal Court
The date upon which the BPAI or Federal Court issued a decision reversing an adverse determination of patentability
The difference for which Applicant should received credit
The Office credited Applicant days, when it should have credited days, as illustrate above.

37 C.F.R. §1.703. The Office is entitled to adjust the sum of the patent term adjustment for Applicant delay. However, Applicant believes that the Office incorrectly deducted patent term adjustment.
The Office erroneously deducted 120 days for Applicant delay based on a Terminal Disclaimer that was filed on February 24, 2006 in connection with Rule 312. The Office responded to the amendment in connection with Rule 312 in a response dated June 7, 2006. The period between February 24, 2006 and June 7, 2006 is 103 days. 37 CFR § 1.704 (c) (10) states that Applicants will be deducted the lesser of the number of days beginning on the date of the amendment under § 1.312 or other paper that was filed and ending on the mailing date of the notice in response to the amendment under § 1.312 or four months. Applicants request that this deduction be removed and the patent term be restored 17 days.
37 C.F.R. §1.704. The Office is entitled to reduce the period of patent term adjustment for Applicant delay:
Applicant's Information Disclosure Statement dated was accompanied by a statement under 1.704(d) that each item of information contained in the statement was first cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart application less than 30 days prior to filling the information disclosure statement.

Thus, applicant should be credited _____ days which were erroneously deducted from the patent

Fee Authorization. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge the petition fee under 37 C.F.R. \boxtimes §1.18(e) of \$0.00 and charge any additional fees or credit any overpayment associated with this communication to Deposit Account No. 23-2415 (Docket No.12636-267).

Respectfully submitted,

WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI

Shirley Chen, Registration No. 44,608

term for applicant's delay.

650 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050

Telephone No.: (650) 493-9300 Facsimile No.: (650) 493-6811

Customer No.: 021971